United States Copyright Office
Library of Congress · 101 Independence Avenue SE · Washington, DC 20559-6000 · www.copyright.gov

November 3, 2005

Amy B. Goldsmith, Esq.
Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C.
270 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10016-0601

Dear Ms. Goldsmith:

I am writing on behalf of the Copyright Office Review Board[1] in response to your second request for reconsideration, dated January 31, 2005. After reviewing the applications from A&A Jewellers Limited and the arguments you presented on its behalf, the Board is reversing the Examining Division's refusal to register Applicant's two collections of jewelry designs, one for rings, Style No. 40675, and one for chains, Style No. 41913. The Board has determined that each collection is registrable. We point out, however, that the fact that the Copyright Office registers a collection of individual works, where the individual works may be meant for individual distribution or sale, does not necessarily mean that every work in the collection is copyrightable in itself.

These works will be registered when the applications have been amended to reflect that the each application refers to a collection of works, rather than to a single piece of jewelry. There are also a few other details concerning the applications which need clarification before the works are registered. An examiner from the Visual Arts Section of the Examining Division will contact you to address those issues and amend the applications accordingly.

We will return to you in a separate mailing the jewelry samples which you submitted for purposes of the second request for reconsideration

Sincerely,

/s/

Nanette Petruzzelli
Special Legal Advisor for Reengineering
for the Review Board
United States Copyright Office

---

[1] You submitted your second request for reconsideration to the Board of Appeals. However, on January 27, 2005, that body became known as the Review Board. 69 Fed. Reg. 77636 (December 28, 2004).